

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,792	06/24/2003	Thomas A. Maufer	NVDA P000804 3473	
26291 PATTERSON	7590 07/11/2007 & SHERIDAN L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
595 SHREWSBURY AVE, STE 100 FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			MOORE JR, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,792	MAUFER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
, _ :	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> .				
,					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, atom represents			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/603,792

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims **3-20**) in the reply filed on 5/7/07 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims **1 and 2** have been withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. It is requested that Applicant cancel non-elected claims **1 and 2** in response to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims **15-17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims **15-17**, these claims are currently directed to "functional descriptive material *per se*" (computer program) with no claimed practical application. Please see "Interim Guidelines on Patentable Subject Matter Eligibility".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2616

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims **3, 4, and 7-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robotham et al. (U.S. 6,775,293) (hereinafter "Robotham") in view of Muller et al. (U.S. 6,483,804) (hereinafter "Muller").

Regarding claims **3 and 15**, *Robotham* teaches the storage of received data units (packets) in buffer 20 (memory) coupled to transmission block 50 (network interface circuitry) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 3, lines 36-40.

Robotham also teaches the incrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) as received data units (packets) are stored in the buffer 20 as spoken of on column 2, lines 45-48.

Robotham also teaches the referencing (checking) of a context table (connection table) upon reception of data units (packets) as spoken of on column 2, lines 43-45.

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 that determines stream identifiers (packet processing) corresponding to fetched data units (packets) as spoken of on column 3, lines 45-49.

Art Unit: 2616

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 that transmits (forwards) the fetched data units (packets) as transmitted data units as spoken of on column 3, lines 56-58.

Robotham also teaches the dequeuing of data from the buffer (clearing the buffer) for forwarding as spoken of on column 2, lines 49-50.

Robotham also teaches the decrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) as data units are retrieved from the buffer and transmitted as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-64.

Robotham does not teach that responsive to non-existence of the connection table entry, sending the packets to network interface software for preparing the packets for the network interface circuitry, the network interface software for building the connection table entry.

However, *Muller* teaches a packet processing method where a flow database manager uses retrieved packet information to set up a flow in a flow database if one does not already exist for the particular flow as shown in Figure 1B and spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the database flow creation teachings of *Muller* with the teachings of *Robotham* in order to allow for the processing of packets of new flows as spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52 of *Muller*.

Regarding claim 4, *Robotham* further teaches the storage of received data units (packets) in buffer 20 (local memory) as spoken of on column 3, lines 36-40.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim **7**, *Robotham* further teaches that the count values (total count signal) in the count table 40 are adjusted to always reflect the current state (whether packets have been partially processed) of the buffer 20 as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-66.

Regarding claims **8 and 16**, *Robotham* further teaches transmission block 50 that utilizes the stream identifier (do not use flag) to retrieve the set of independent group identifiers corresponding to the particular stream from the context table 30 as spoken of on column 3, lines 50-53.

Regarding claims **9 and 17**, *Robotham* further teaches transmission block 50 (having network interface software) that determines stream identifiers (packet processing) corresponding to fetched data units (packets) as spoken of on column 3, lines 45-49.

Regarding claim **10**, *Robotham* further teaches transmission block 50 (network interface circuitry) that determines stream identifiers (packet processing) corresponding to fetched data units (packets) as spoken of on column 3, lines 45-49.

Regarding claim **11**, *Robotham* teaches the buffering circuit 100 (apparatus) as shown in Figure 1.

Robotham also teaches the storage of received data units (packets) by reception block 10 (means) in buffer 20 (memory) coupled (accessible) to transmission block 50 (network interface circuitry) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 3, lines 36-40.

Art Unit: 2616

Robotham also teaches the incrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) by reception block 10 (means) as received data units (packets) are stored in the buffer 20 as spoken of on column 2, lines 45-48.

Robotham also teaches the referencing (checking) of a context table (connection table) by reception block 10 (means) upon reception of data units (packets) as spoken of on column 2, lines 43-45.

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 that determines stream identifiers (packet processing) corresponding to fetched data units (packets) as spoken of on column 3, lines 45-49.

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 (means) that transmits (forwards) the fetched data units (packets) as transmitted data units as spoken of on column 3, lines 56-58.

Robotham also teaches the dequeuing of data (clearing the buffer) from the buffer by transmission block 50 (means) for forwarding as spoken of on column 2, lines 49-50.

Robotham also teaches the decrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) by transmission block 50 (means) as data units are retrieved from the buffer and transmitted as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-64.

Robotham does not teach means for sending the packets to network interface software for preparation for the network interface circuitry responsive to non-existence of the connection table entry, including means for building the connection table entry.

Art Unit: 2616

However, *Muller* teaches a packet processing method where a flow database manager (means) uses retrieved packet information to set up a flow in a flow database if one does not already exist for the particular flow as shown in Figure 1B and spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the database flow creation teachings of *Muller* with the teachings of *Robotham* in order to allow for the processing of packets of new flows as spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52 of *Muller*.

Regarding claim **12**, *Robotham* further teaches the storage of received data units (packets) in buffer 20 (local memory) as spoken of on column 3, lines 36-40.

Regarding claim **13**, *Robotham* further teaches where count table 40 (counter) is coupled to buffer 20 (memory) as shown in Figure 1.

Regarding claim **14**, *Robotham* further teaches that the count values (total count signal) in the count table 40 are adjusted to always reflect the current state (whether packets have been partially processed) of the buffer 20 as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-66.

Regarding claim **18**, *Robotham* teaches the buffering circuit 100 (system) as shown in Figure 1.

Robotham also teaches congestion monitoring block 60 (central processing unit) as shown in Figure 1.

Robotham also teaches buffer 20 (system memory) coupled to congestion monitoring block 60 (central processing unit) as shown in Figure 1.

Art Unit: 2616

Robotham also teaches reception block 10 and transmission block 50 (network interfaces) coupled to buffer 20 (system memory) and congestion monitoring block 60 (central processing unit) as shown in Figure 1.

Robotham teaches the storage of received data units (packets) in buffer 20 (memory) coupled to transmission block 50 (circuitry portion) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 3, lines 36-40.

Robotham also teaches the incrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) as received data units (packets) are stored in the buffer 20 as spoken of on column 2, lines 45-48.

Robotham also teaches the referencing (checking) of a context table (connection table) upon reception of data units (packets) as spoken of on column 2, lines 43-45.

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 that determines stream identifiers (packet processing) corresponding to fetched data units (packets) as spoken of on column 3, lines 45-49.

Robotham also teaches transmission block 50 that transmits (forwards) the fetched data units (packets) as transmitted data units as spoken of on column 3, lines 56-58.

Robotham also teaches the dequeuing of data from the buffer (clearing the buffer) for forwarding as spoken of on column 2, lines 49-50.

Robotham also teaches the decrementing of count values of count table 40 (counter) as data units are retrieved from the buffer and transmitted as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-64.

Art Unit: 2616

Robotham does not teach that responsive to non-existence of the connection table entry, sending the packets to network interface software for preparing the packets for the network interface circuitry, the network interface software for building the connection table entry.

However, *Muller* teaches a packet processing method where a flow database manager uses retrieved packet information to set up a flow in a flow database if one does not already exist for the particular flow as shown in Figure 1B and spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the database flow creation teachings of *Muller* with the teachings of *Robotham* in order to allow for the processing of packets of new flows as spoken of on column 11, lines 46-52 of *Muller*.

Regarding claim **19**, *Robotham* further teaches reception block 10 and transmission block 50 (input/output interfaces) coupled to buffer 20 and congestion monitoring block 60 (central processing unit) as shown in Figure 1.

Regarding claim **20**, *Robotham* further teaches transmission block 50 (circuitry portion) as shown in Figure 1.

6. Claim **5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robotham et al. (U.S. 6,775,293) (hereinafter "Robotham") in view of Muller et al. (U.S. 6,483,804) (hereinafter "Muller") and in further view of Spinney et al. (U.S. 6,426,943) (hereinafter "Spinney").

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim **5**, *Robotham* in view of *Muller* teaches the method of claim **4**.

While *Robotham* in view of *Muller* teaches buffer management of a packet-based system, *Robotham* in view of *Muller* does not explicitly teach the use of User Datagram Protocol formatted packets.

However, *Spinney* teaches a method of packet flow processing using queues where UDP packets are used as spoken of on column 27, lines 3-26.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the UDP packet teachings of *Spinney* with the teachings of *Robotham* in view of *Muller* in order to provide efficient packet processing of UDP packets.

7. Claim **6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robotham et al. (U.S. 6,775,293) (hereinafter "Robotham") in view of Muller et al. (U.S. 6,483,804) (hereinafter "Muller") and in further view of Wei (U.S. 6,560,196).

Regarding claim **6**, *Robotham* in view of *Muller* teaches the method of claim **4**. While *Robotham* in view of *Muller* teaches buffer management of a packet-based system, *Robotham* in view of *Muller* does not explicitly teach the use of Voice over Internet Protocol formatted packets.

However, *Wei* teaches a method of packet flow processing using credit buffers and counters where VoIP packet transmission is supported as spoken of on column 18, lines 50-61.

Art Unit: 2616

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the VoIP teachings of *Wei* with the teachings of *Robotham* in view of *Muller* in order to provide efficient packet processing in a VoIP environment.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dell et al. (U.S. 7,158,528) as well as Dooley (U.S. 7,203,170) are other references considered pertinent to this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30am - 4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached at (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael J. Moore, Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 2616

mjmMM

WING CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER